



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,319	09/961,319 09/25/2001		Misako Suwa	826.1757	5814
21171	7590	7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER .	
511115 55	HALSEY LI	_P	FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2171	. [
•				DATE MAILED: 11/06/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/961,319	SUWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	eptember 2003 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under building of Claims	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 2	93 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/961,319

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to application filed on September 25, 2001 in which claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

The information disclosure statement (IDS) received on December 13, 2001 is noted.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not clearly describe when a note is inserted onto a document. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 12 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner believes the Applicants intended to link claims 12 and 19 to claims 8 and 15 respectively.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 8, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains,

Art Unit: 2171

or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not clearly describe when a note is inserted (entered) onto a document.

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-14 and 16-21, they depend from 1, 8 and 15 respectively, and therefore contain the deficiencies of those claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15 and 22, the preamble and claim body are indefinite. The segment, "a note taken in a paper document printed from an electronic document" is indefinite. Is the note entered in the document before or after printing? Second, the phrase, "a document with a note" is indefinite. Is the document a paper or electronic document? Third, the phrase, "the read image" is indefinite. Is the read image an entire document with the note or just the note?

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, the phrase, "image generated from the electronic document is indefinite. The electronic document contains text, but does not generate an image.

Regarding claims 2-7, 9-14 and 16-21 they depend from 1, 8 and 15 respectively, and therefore contain the deficiencies of those claims.

Art Unit: 2171

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as best as the Examiner is able to ascertain as being anticipated by Ching (U.S. Patent No. 6,533,168).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 15 and 16, Ching discloses a method, program and system managing a note written on a document, comprising: (figures 1a and 3, item 304)

reading as an image a document with a note; (col. 4, lines 17 and 18)

extracting information about the note from the image; (col. 4, lines 9-14 and 18-21)

correlating and electronically storing the document and the information about the note (col. 4, lines 21-24).

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Ching discloses recognizing a character written in the image data of the note (col. 10, lines 32-41).

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Ching discloses the electronic document, a note image and a recognition result of the note image are correlated and electronically stored (col. 10, lines 42-55)

Application/Control Number: 09/961,319

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Ching discloses searching and recognizing with a search keyword and displaying the data (fig. 7, items 704 and 705 and fig. 2a, item 208).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, Ching discloses the location of the note by subtracting an image representing the note from the rest of the document (fig. 3, item 109 and 304).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Ching discloses location information of the note within the printed document (fig. 3, item 304 and col. 8, lines 2-5)

Conclusion

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MF

October 30, 2003

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100